

## The Columbia River Treaty and Local Interests: A Shared Responsibility

### Appendix C: Sources

#### General:

1. Barnhardt, R. and A.O. Kawagley. "Indigenous Knowledge Systems and Alaska Native Ways of Knowing." ANTHROPOLOGY AND EDUCATION QUARTERLY, 2005 (36) (1): 8-23.<sup>1</sup>
2. Boczar, Barbara A. "Avenues for Direct Participation of Transnational Corporation in International Environmental Negotiations." 3 N.Y.U. ENVTL. L.J. 1 1994.
3. Caldwell, Lynton Keith. *International Environmental Policy: From the Twentieth to the Twenty-First Century*, Third Edition. Duke University Press (London), 1996.<sup>2</sup>
4. Locke, Harvey and Matthew McKinney. "The Flathead River Basin," in *Water Without Borders: Canada, the United States, and Shared Waters*. (University of Toronto Press 2013).
5. Susskind, Larry et al., editors. *Transboundary Environmental Negotiation: New Approaches to Global Cooperation*. Jossey-Bass, San Francisco (2002).<sup>3</sup>
6. Susskind, Lawrence E. et al. *International Environmental Treaty Making*. (Program on Negotiation, Harvard Law School, 1992).<sup>4</sup>
7. Trollalden, Jon Martin. *International Environmental Conflict Resolution: The Role of the United Nations*. (Wfed-World Foundation for Environment and Development, 1992).
8. U.S. Department of State. [Digest of Practice in International Law](https://www.state.gov/s/l/c8183.htm). <https://www.state.gov/s/l/c8183.htm>, (accessed January 23, 2017).<sup>5</sup>
9. Government of Canada. [Global Affairs Canada](http://www.treaty-accord.gc.ca/index.aspx). <http://www.treaty-accord.gc.ca/index.aspx>, (accessed January 26, 2017).<sup>6</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Provides an introduction to the emerging field of "traditional ecological knowledge" (TEK), describing aboriginal, indigenous, or other forms of traditional knowledge regarding sustainability of local resources. It refers to a cumulative body of knowledge, belief, and practice handed down through generations through traditional songs, stories and beliefs.

<sup>2</sup> Resource on the topic of representation and participation in international environmental treaties.

<sup>3</sup> Resource on topic of representation and participation in international environmental treaties.

<sup>4</sup> Resource on topic of representation and participation in international environmental treaties.

<sup>5</sup> Excellent resource for U.S. Treaty Practice and government documentation.

<sup>6</sup> An excellent resource for researching treaties (international agreements) that Canada has entered into with other nations.

## International Law:

10. Convention on Access to Information, “Public Participation in Decision-Making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters in Aarhus, Denmark 25 June 1998” (2161 UNTS 447; 38 ILM 517, 1999).
11. McCaffrey, Stephen C. *The Law of International Watercourses, Second Edition*. Oxford University Press, (2007).<sup>7</sup>
12. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, NGO Branch. “[Consultative Status with ECOSOC and other accreditations.](http://esango.un.org/civilsociety/displayConsultativeStatusSearch.do?method=search&sessionCheck=false)” <http://esango.un.org/civilsociety/displayConsultativeStatusSearch.do?method=search&sessionCheck=false>, (accessed February 4, 2017).
13. United Nations Economic Commission for Europe. “[Guide to Public Participation under the Protocol on Water and Health](http://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/env/water/publications/PWH_public_participation/GuidePublicParticipationPWH_WEB_EN.pdf) – Protocol on Water and Health to the Convention on the Protection and Use of Transboundary Watercourses and International Lakes.” (United Nations, 2013). [http://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/env/water/publications/PWH\\_public\\_participation/GuidePublicParticipationPWH\\_WEB\\_EN.pdf](http://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/env/water/publications/PWH_public_participation/GuidePublicParticipationPWH_WEB_EN.pdf), (accessed February 5, 2017).
14. [Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties](http://legal.un.org/diplomaticconferences/lawoftreaties-1969/docs/english/confdocs/a_conf_39_27.pdf) (1969) Article 2(1)(a), UN Doc. A/Conf.39/27. [http://legal.un.org/diplomaticconferences/lawoftreaties-1969/docs/english/confdocs/a\\_conf\\_39\\_27.pdf](http://legal.un.org/diplomaticconferences/lawoftreaties-1969/docs/english/confdocs/a_conf_39_27.pdf), (accessed November 20, 2016).<sup>8</sup>
15. [Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties between States and International Organizations or between International Organizations](https://treaties.un.org/doc/source/docs/A_CONF.129_15-E.pdf) (1986), UN Doc. A/CONF.129/15. [https://treaties.un.org/doc/source/docs/A\\_CONF.129\\_15-E.pdf](https://treaties.un.org/doc/source/docs/A_CONF.129_15-E.pdf), (accessed November 20, 2016).<sup>9</sup>

## Columbia River Treaty

16. Bankes, Nigel and Barbara Cosens. “The Future of the Columbia River Treaty.” Program on Water Issues, Munk School of Global Affairs at the University of

---

<sup>7</sup> An authoritative source on the international law of transboundary watercourses.

<sup>8</sup> Authoritative source of International Law outlining the law of treaties. Article 2(1)(a) of the VCLT defines a treaty as: “...an international agreement concluded between States in written form and governed by international law, whether embodied in a single instrument or in two or more related instruments and whatever its particular designation”.

<sup>9</sup> International agreement that allows non-governmental international organizations to enter into agreements with each other and/or States. Note as of November 2016 this agreement is not yet in force. [https://treaties.un.org/Pages/ViewDetails.aspx?src=TREATY&mtdsg\\_no=XXIII-3&chapter=23&clang=en#1](https://treaties.un.org/Pages/ViewDetails.aspx?src=TREATY&mtdsg_no=XXIII-3&chapter=23&clang=en#1) (accessed November 20, 2016).

- Toronto, (2012), <http://munkschool.utoronto.ca/research/the-future-of-the-columbia-river-treaty/>, (accessed February 2, 2017).<sup>10</sup>
17. Bankes, Nigel and Barbara Cosens. “[Protocols for Adaptive Water Governance: The Future of the Columbia River Treaty.](#)” Program on Water Issues, Munk School of Global Affairs at the University of Toronto, (2014), <http://powi.ca/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/Protocols-for-Adaptive-Water-Governance-Final-October-14-2014.pdf>, (accessed February 1, 2017).<sup>11</sup>
  18. Canadian and United States Entities, Columbia River Treaty 2014/2024 [Review: Phase 1 Report](#), (Canadian and United States Entities, 2010). Subsequent BC reports: <http://engage.gov.bc.ca/app/uploads/sites/6/2012/05/BackgrounderJuly29Noon.pdf>, (accessed Feb 16, 2017); [supplemental U.S. reports: https://www.crt2014-2024review.gov/SupplementalReport.aspx](https://www.crt2014-2024review.gov/SupplementalReport.aspx), (accessed Feb 2, 2017).
  19. [Columbia Basin Trust Act, RSBC 1996, c.53.](#) [http://www.bclaws.ca/civix/document/id/complete/statreg/96053\\_01](http://www.bclaws.ca/civix/document/id/complete/statreg/96053_01), (accessed February 11, 2017).<sup>12</sup>
  20. Columbia River Treaty, Local Governments’ Committee. “[Columbia River Treaty: Key Interests of Canadian Columbia Basin Residents.](#)” <https://akblg.civicweb.net/document/214/Key%20BC%20Basin%20residents%20CRT%20Interests%20Fall%202013.pdf?handle=FFED9E8F24314E92A557CC823E6E65D7>, (accessed January 10, 2017).<sup>13</sup>
  21. Columbia River Treaty Local Governments’ Committee. “[Columbia River Treaty Recommendations](#), December 2013.” <https://akblg.civicweb.net/document/210/CRT%20LGC%20Dec%202013%20Recommendations%20FINAL.pdf?handle=D2819FEBEED24A268A345B7A585D2919>, (accessed February 10, 2017).
  22. Cosens, Barbara. “[The Columbia River Treaty: An Opportunity for Modernization of Basin Governance](#),” (Speech). 27 COLO. NAT. RESOURCES, ENERGY & ENVTL. L. REV. 27, [http://www.colorado.edu/law/sites/default/files/CNREELR-V27-I1-Cosens%20Final\\_0.pdf](http://www.colorado.edu/law/sites/default/files/CNREELR-V27-I1-Cosens%20Final_0.pdf), (accessed February 6, 2017).<sup>14</sup>

---

<sup>10</sup> A great source providing an excellent overview of the bilateral treaty practice between the USA and Canada respectively and laying out the current and possible future Columbia River Treaty against the backdrop of this bilateral practice and international norms.

<sup>11</sup> A superb and in depth discussion of the legal mechanisms for flexibility and adaptive capacity in transboundary water agreements looking at existing models from both the international and domestic level, some of which are the same as the case studies in the current project of the LGC looking at local government involvement in transboundary water agreements. An excellent source.

<sup>12</sup> A valuable resource regarding the creation of the CBT and the commitment of the B.C. Provincial government to the people of the Columbia River Basin.

<sup>13</sup> Outlines the key interests identified by the Columbia River Treaty Local Governments Committee.

<sup>14</sup> Excellent resource discussing the modernization of Columbia River Basin governance.

23. Government of British Columbia, Ministry of Energy, Mines and Natural Gas. [Columbia River Basin Sounding Board Group: Terms of Reference](http://engage.gov.bc.ca/app/uploads/sites/6/2013/04/Sounding-Board-TOR-April-2.pdf), Columbia River Treaty Review, February 2013. <http://engage.gov.bc.ca/app/uploads/sites/6/2013/04/Sounding-Board-TOR-April-2.pdf> , (accessed February 10, 2017).
24. Government of British Columbia, Ministry of Energy, Mines and Natural Gas. “[Review of the Range of Impacts and Benefits of the Columbia River Treaty.](https://engage.gov.bc.ca/app/uploads/sites/6/2012/07/A-Review-of-the-Range-of-Impacts-and-Benefits-of-the-Columbia-River-Treaty6.pdf)” 2012. George E. Penfold. <https://engage.gov.bc.ca/app/uploads/sites/6/2012/07/A-Review-of-the-Range-of-Impacts-and-Benefits-of-the-Columbia-River-Treaty6.pdf> , (accessed February 15, 2017).
25. Government of British Columbia, Columbia Basin Regional Advisory Committee Members, <https://engage.gov.bc.ca/app/uploads/sites/6/2017/02/CBRAC-Member-List.pdf> (accessed March 1, 2017).
26. Government of British Columbia. [Columbia River Treaty.](http://engage.gov.bc.ca/columbiarivertreaty/) <http://engage.gov.bc.ca/columbiarivertreaty/>, (accessed February 18, 2017).
27. Government of British Columbia. Columbia River Treaty Review. “[Calendar of Events.](http://engage.gov.bc.ca/columbiarivertreaty/?s=Calendar)” <http://engage.gov.bc.ca/columbiarivertreaty/?s=Calendar> , (accessed Feb 17, 2017).
28. Government of British Columbia. Columbia River Treaty. [Columbia Basin Regional Advisory Committee.](http://engage.gov.bc.ca/columbiarivertreaty/columbia-basin-regional-advisory-committee/) <http://engage.gov.bc.ca/columbiarivertreaty/columbia-basin-regional-advisory-committee/>, (accessed February 18, 2017).
29. Government of British Columbia. Columbia River Treaty, Columbia Basin Regional Advisory Committee. Terms of Reference (October 6, 2016), <https://engage.gov.bc.ca/app/uploads/sites/6/2017/01/2016-10-06-Columbia-Basin-Regional-Advisory-Committee-Terms-of-Reference-UPDATED.pdf>, (accessed February 21, 2017).
30. Government of British Columbia, Columbia River Treaty Review, <http://engage.gov.bc.ca/columbiarivertreaty/> (accessed March 1, 2017).
31. Government of British Columbia. [Columbia Treaty Review, BC Decision.](https://engage.gov.bc.ca/app/uploads/sites/6/2012/03/BC_Decision_on_Columbia_River_Treaty.pdf) [https://engage.gov.bc.ca/app/uploads/sites/6/2012/03/BC\\_Decision\\_on\\_Columbia\\_River\\_Treaty.pdf](https://engage.gov.bc.ca/app/uploads/sites/6/2012/03/BC_Decision_on_Columbia_River_Treaty.pdf) , (accessed February 10, 2017).
32. Government of British Columbia. Columbia River Treaty Review, [Treaty Highlights.](http://engage.gov.bc.ca/columbiarivertreaty/treaty-highlights/) <http://engage.gov.bc.ca/columbiarivertreaty/treaty-highlights/>, (accessed February 17, 2017).

33. International Water Governance: [Columbia River Case Study](https://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/env/documents/2014/WAT/05May_22-23_Geneva/case_studies/4.4.R.Paisley_ColumbiaRiver_case_study.pdf). [https://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/env/documents/2014/WAT/05May\\_22-23\\_Geneva/case\\_studies/4.4.R.Paisley\\_ColumbiaRiver\\_case\\_study.pdf](https://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/env/documents/2014/WAT/05May_22-23_Geneva/case_studies/4.4.R.Paisley_ColumbiaRiver_case_study.pdf), (accessed February 6, 2017).
34. Paisley, Richard Kyle, Matthew J. McKinney and Molly Smith Stenovec. [“A Sacred Responsibility: Governing the Use of Water and Related Resources in the International Columbia River Basin Through the Prism of Tribes and First Nations.”](http://www.columbiarivergovernance.org/A_Shared_Responsibility_2015_FINA_L.pdf) Universities Consortium on Columbia River Governance, (2015), [http://www.columbiarivergovernance.org/A\\_Shared\\_Responsibility\\_2015\\_FINA\\_L.pdf](http://www.columbiarivergovernance.org/A_Shared_Responsibility_2015_FINA_L.pdf), (accessed February 3, 2017).<sup>15</sup>
35. [Treaty between Canada and the United States of America relating to the Cooperative Development of the Water Resources of the Columbia River Basin](http://crtlibrary.cbt.org/archive/files/8ead1170f227746e2531a241b4977495.pdf) [“Columbia Treaty”]. Jan. 17, 1961, 15 U.S.T. 1555, 542 U.N.T.S. 244, <http://crtlibrary.cbt.org/archive/files/8ead1170f227746e2531a241b4977495.pdf>, (accessed February 10, 2017).<sup>16</sup>
36. United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE). [International Water Governance: In Depth Case Study Columbia River Basin](https://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/env/documents/2014/WAT/05May_22-23_Geneva/case_studies/4.4.R.Paisley_ColumbiaRiver_case_study.pdf). [https://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/env/documents/2014/WAT/05May\\_22-23\\_Geneva/case\\_studies/4.4.R.Paisley\\_ColumbiaRiver\\_case\\_study.pdf](https://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/env/documents/2014/WAT/05May_22-23_Geneva/case_studies/4.4.R.Paisley_ColumbiaRiver_case_study.pdf), (accessed February 6, 2017).<sup>17</sup>
37. U.S. Entity, [“U.S. Entity Regional Recommendation for the Future of the Columbia River Treaty after 2024.”](https://www.crt2014-2024review.gov/Files/Regional%20Recommendation%20Final,%202013%20DEC%202013.pdf) (U.S. Entity, 2013). <https://www.crt2014-2024review.gov/Files/Regional%20Recommendation%20Final,%202013%20DEC%202013.pdf>, (accessed Feb 6, 2017).

### **Pacific Salmon Treaty:**

38. Bankes, Nigel. Notes of conversation with Don McRae (2016).<sup>18</sup>
39. Colson, David A. “The Impact of Federalism and Border Issues on Canada-U.S. Relations: Pacific Salmon Treaty.” 27 CAN.-U.S. L.J. 259, (2001).<sup>19</sup>

<sup>15</sup> A detailed and in depth analysis of the potential involvement of indigenous peoples in future negotiations and operations of the Columbia River. Covers a variety of in depth case studies. Highly relevant to the involvement of other non-state actors in the future operations and negotiations of the Columbia River and similar international scenarios.

<sup>16</sup> Text of CRT.

<sup>17</sup> An excellent overview of the CRT and local interest involvement.

<sup>18</sup> “**At the start** - Both First Nations and fisher folks were included in the negotiation delegations for both countries - resulting in 60 person delegations on both sides, which was unwieldy.

**In the end** - There was a 3 person negotiation delegation - Don and 2 federal fisheries personnel. There was very little consultation with First Nations or fishers as the process evolved to a reporting relationship. This resulted in resentment from the First Nations in particular”.

40. Government of Canada Department of Fisheries and Oceans. [Pacific Salmon Treaty](http://www.pac.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/fm-gp/species-especies/salmon-saumon/pol/pst-tsp/index-eng.html). <http://www.pac.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/fm-gp/species-especies/salmon-saumon/pol/pst-tsp/index-eng.html> , (accessed January 17, 2017).<sup>20</sup>
41. McDorman, Ted L. “The Canada-United States West Coast Salmon Dispute: The Role of Substate Units, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting.” AMERICAN SOCIETY OF INTERNATIONAL LAW Vol. 92, The Challenge of Non-State Actors (April 1-4, 1998), pp. 345-349.
42. McKinney, Matthew et al. “Managing Transboundary Natural Resources: An Assessment of the Need to Revise and Update the Columbia River Treaty.” 16 HASTINGS W.-NW. J. ENVT’L L, & POL’Y 307, (2010).<sup>21</sup>
43. McRae, Donald. “The Negotiations of the 1999 Pacific Salmon Agreement.” 27 CANADA-UNITED STATES L.J. 267, (2001), <http://scholarlycommons.law.case.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1558&context=cuslj> , (accessed February 15, 2017).<sup>22</sup>
44. Miller, Kathleen A. “[North American Pacific Salmon: A Case of Fragile Cooperation](#).” Food and Agriculture Organization: Fisheries and Aquaculture Department. <http://www.fao.org/docrep/006/y4652e/y4652e09.htm> , (accessed January 20, 2017).<sup>23</sup>
45. [Pacific Salmon Commission](#). <http://www.psc.org/about-us/>, (accessed January 17, 2017).<sup>24</sup>
46. Shepard, M.P. and A.W. Argue. [The 1985 Pacific Salmon Treaty: Sharing Conservation Burdens and Benefits](#), UBC Press. <http://www.ubcpress.ca/books/pdf/chapters/sheparg.pdf> , (accessed February 3, 2017).<sup>25</sup>

---

<sup>19</sup> An excellent discussion of federalism and the Pacific Salmon Treaty by one of the participants on the American side for over 20 years outlining the importance of incorporating Tribes and State Governments.

<sup>20</sup> Overview of the Pacific Salmon Treaty and its updates from the Canadian Department of Fisheries, also a helpful jumping off point for related contact information and links.

<sup>21</sup> An overview of the Columbia River Treaty how and why it should be amended and who should be involved in the negotiation provides examples of other agreements such as the Pacific Salmon Treaty.

<sup>22</sup> Excellent discussion of the negotiations of the 1999 Pacific Salmon Treaty by Canada’s chief negotiator during the process.

<sup>23</sup> Excellent overview of the conflict, negotiations and eventual Pacific Salmon Treaty.

<sup>24</sup> Website for the Pacific Salmon Committee, an international decision making organization representing the interests of commercial and recreational fisheries as well as federal, state and tribal governments within the framework of the Pacific Salmon Treaty. An excellent website with lots of resources and description of the Committee, regional panels, membership and organizational structure, as well as the history of the Pacific Salmon Treaty.

<sup>25</sup> An in depth overview of the 1985 Pacific Salmon Treaty and the negotiations which lead to it.

47. Sunny Knight. "Salmon Recovery and the Pacific Salmon Treaty." 27 *ECOLOGY LAW QUARTERLY* 885, (2000).<sup>26</sup>
48. [Treaty Between the Government of Canada and the Government of the United States of America Concerning Pacific Salmon, Amended as of May 16, 2014](#), Can.-U.S., January 28, 1985. <http://www.psc.org/publications/pacific-salmon-treaty/>, (accessed January 28, 2017).<sup>27</sup>
49. Williams, Austin. "The Pacific Salmon Treaty: A Historical Analysis and Prescription for the Future." *JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL LAW & LITIGATION* 153 (2007).<sup>28</sup>

#### **Prairie Provinces Water Board/ 1969 Master Agreement on Apportionment:**

50. Prairie Provinces Water Board. [About Us](#). <http://www.ppwb.ca/people/76/index.html> , (accessed January 22, 2017).<sup>29</sup>
51. Prairie Provinces Water Board. [1969 Master Agreement on Apportionment](#). <http://www.ppwb.ca/information/79/index.html> , (accessed January 22, 2017).<sup>30</sup>

#### **Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement and Great Lakes Water Resource Compact and Agreement:**

52. Agreement Review Committee. "[Report to the Great Lakes Binational Executive Committee: Volume 1, September 2007.](#)" [http://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection\\_2012/ec/En164-21-1-2007-eng.pdf](http://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2012/ec/En164-21-1-2007-eng.pdf) , (accessed February 2, 2017).<sup>31</sup>
53. Binational.net. [Canada-United States Collaboration for Great Lakes Water Quality](#). <https://binational.net/> , (accessed Jan 30, 2017).<sup>32</sup>

<sup>26</sup> An older source that provides a decent description of the Pacific Salmon Treaty and problems in the communication of various stakeholders.

<sup>27</sup> Text of Pacific Salmon Treaty as Amended May 16, 2014.

<sup>28</sup> Excellent overview of the Pacific Salmon Treaty and its history including political background, also a good overview of challenges facing the regime for instance equity interception fisheries. Does not talk much about local or aboriginal involvement.

<sup>29</sup> Good Description of the Prairie Provinces Water Board and who is on it. No indication from this website of local government involvement other than provincial governments.

<sup>30</sup> Useful access point for the 1969 Master Agreement and subsequent amendments, although no indication of local government involvement from this website.

<sup>31</sup> Synthesis and key findings of year-long public review, representing a broad spectrum of local interests, of the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement. Also provides illustration of review process and participants.

<sup>32</sup> "Binational.net is a collaboration between the [United States Environmental Protection Agency](#) and [Environment and Climate Change Canada](#) to provide a single window on joint work undertaken by the



54. Binational.net. Canada-United States Collaboration for Great Lakes Water Quality. [Membership of Great Lakes Executive Committee](https://binational.net/glec-cegl/mem/). <https://binational.net/glec-cegl/mem/>, (accessed Jan 30, 2017).<sup>33</sup>
55. Binational.net. Canada-United States Collaboration for Great Lakes Water Quality. [Great Lakes Executive Committee Observers](https://binational.net/glec-cegl/obs/). <https://binational.net/glec-cegl/obs/> (accessed February 2, 2017).
56. Binational.net. Canada-United States Collaboration for Great Lakes Water Quality. Great Lakes Executive Committee to the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement, [Terms of Reference](https://binational.net/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/20130423-glec-tor-en.pdf), April 23, 2013. <https://binational.net/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/20130423-glec-tor-en.pdf>, (accessed February 4, 2017).<sup>34</sup>
57. [Great Lakes and St. Lawrence Cities Initiative](https://glslcities.org/). <https://glslcities.org/>, (accessed February 1, 2017).<sup>35</sup>
58. Hall, Noah D. “[The Centennial of the Boundary Waters Treaty: A Century of United States-Canadian Transboundary Water Management](http://digitalcommons.wayne.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1098&context=law_frp).” 54 THE WAYNE L. REV. 1417, (2008). [http://digitalcommons.wayne.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1098&context=law\\_frp](http://digitalcommons.wayne.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1098&context=law_frp) (accessed February 2, 2017).
59. Hall, Noah D. “[The Evolving Role of Citizens in United States-Canadian International Environmental Law Compliance](https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers2.cfm?abstract_id=958177).” 24 PACE ENVTL. L. REV. 131, (2007). [https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers2.cfm?abstract\\_id=958177](https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers2.cfm?abstract_id=958177), (accessed February 3, 2017).
60. International Joint Commission. Canada & United States. “[Advice to Governments on their Review of the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement](http://www.ijc.org/php/publications/pdf/ID1603.pdf), A Special Report to the Governments of Canada and the United States, August 2006.” <http://www.ijc.org/php/publications/pdf/ID1603.pdf>, (accessed February 3, 2017).
61. International Joint Commission. [Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement, Between the United States of America and Canada](http://ijc.org/files/publications/C23.pdf), signed, April 15, 1972. <http://ijc.org/files/publications/C23.pdf>, (accessed February 2, 2017).<sup>36</sup>

---

Governments of the United States and Canada in support of achieving the purpose of the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement”. A great official resource and an excellent portal for other resources.

<sup>33</sup> List of members of the Great Lakes Executive Committee.

<sup>34</sup> Terms of reference including membership/observer parties to the Great Lakes Executive Committee.

<sup>35</sup> Founded in 2003 it is a bi-national coalition of over 127 U.S. and Canadian mayors and local officials working to advance the protection and restoration of the Great Lakes and St. Lawrence River, with an integrated environmental, economic and social agenda. Participating in a number of Great Lakes basin-wide organizations.

<sup>36</sup> Text of Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement of 1972.



62. International Joint Commission. [Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement, Can.-U.S., September 7, 2012.](#)  
<http://ijc.org/files/tinymce/uploaded/GLWQA%202012.pdf>, (accessed February 2, 2017).<sup>37</sup>
63. International Joint Commission. [Great Lakes Science Advisory Board.](#)  
[http://ijc.org/en/\\_sab/Great\\_Lakes\\_Science\\_Advisory\\_Board\\_](http://ijc.org/en/_sab/Great_Lakes_Science_Advisory_Board_), (accessed February 3, 2017).<sup>38</sup>
64. International Joint Commission. Canada & United States, Great Lakes, International Watersheds. [A Guide to the GLWQA.](#)  
[http://www.ijc.org/en/activitiesX/consultations/glwqa/guide\\_3.php#1972\\_](http://www.ijc.org/en/activitiesX/consultations/glwqa/guide_3.php#1972_), (accessed Jan 30, 2017).<sup>39</sup>
65. International Joint Commission. [Great Lakes Water Quality Board.](#)  
[http://ijc.org/en/\\_wqb/Great\\_Lakes\\_Water\\_Quality\\_Board\\_](http://ijc.org/en/_wqb/Great_Lakes_Water_Quality_Board_), (accessed February 1, 2017).<sup>40</sup>
66. International Joint Commission. [Great Lakes Water Quality Board/ Membership.](#)  
[http://ijc.org/en/\\_wqb/Members\\_](http://ijc.org/en/_wqb/Members_), (accessed February 1, 2017).<sup>41</sup>
67. International Joint Commission. [Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement of 1978,](#) as Amended by Protocol Signed November 18, 1987, Can.-U.S., November 18, 1987. [http://www.ijc.org/files/tinymce/uploaded/GLWQA\\_e.pdf](http://www.ijc.org/files/tinymce/uploaded/GLWQA_e.pdf), (accessed February 2, 2017).<sup>42</sup>
68. International Joint Commission, “[Synthesis of Public Comment on the Forthcoming Review by the Federal Governments of Canada and the United States of the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement](#), A Report to the Governments of the United States and Canada, January 2006.”  
<http://www.ijc.org/php/publications/pdf/ID1588.pdf> (accessed February 2, 2017).
69. Karkkainen, Bradley C. “[The Great Lakes Water Resources Compact and Agreement: Transboundary Normativity without International Law.](#)” 39 WM. MITCHELL L. REV. 997 (2013).

---

<sup>37</sup> Text of Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement of 2012.

<sup>38</sup> Resource for Great Lakes Science Advisory Board that functions in an advisory capacity. Portals to research and membership of committees.

<sup>39</sup> Overview and details of the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreements and access to copies of the text of the various agreements.

<sup>40</sup> Website of the Great Lakes Water Quality Board which was established as the principal advisor to the IJC regarding the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement.

<sup>41</sup> List of members of the Great Lakes Water Quality Board.

<sup>42</sup> Text of Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement of 1987.

<http://open.mitchellhamline.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1511&context=wmlr>, (accessed February 2, 2017).<sup>43</sup>

70. Krantzberg, Gail. “[Renegotiation of the 1987 Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement: From Confusion to Promise.](#)” 4 SUSTAINABILITY 1239, (2012). <http://www.eng.mcmaster.ca/civil/facultypages/krantz15.pdf>, (accessed February 3, 2017).<sup>44</sup>

### Great Lakes Levels Orders:

71. Great Lakes – St. Lawrence River. [Water Resources Regional Body.](#) <http://www.glsregionalbody.org/>, (accessed February 2, 2017).
72. International Joint Commission. International Great Lakes – St. Lawrence River Adaptive Management Task Team. “[Building Collaboration Across the Great Lakes – St. Lawrence River System: An Adaptive Management Plan for Addressing Extreme Water Levels, Breakdown of Roles, Responsibilities and Proposed Tasks.](#)” Final Report, May 30, 2013. [http://ijc.org/files/tinymce/uploaded/documents/reportsAndPublications/FinalReport\\_Adaptive%20Management%20Plan\\_20130530.pdf](http://ijc.org/files/tinymce/uploaded/documents/reportsAndPublications/FinalReport_Adaptive%20Management%20Plan_20130530.pdf), (accessed February 4, 2017).
73. International Joint Commission. [International Lake Superior Board of Control.](#) [http://ijc.org/en/\\_ilsbc/International\\_Lake\\_Superior\\_Board\\_of\\_Control](http://ijc.org/en/_ilsbc/International_Lake_Superior_Board_of_Control), (accessed February 4, 2017).
74. International Joint Commission. [International Lake Ontario- St. Lawrence River Board.](#) [http://ijc.org/en/\\_islrbc/Home](http://ijc.org/en/_islrbc/Home), (accessed February 3, 2017).
75. International Joint Commission. [Protecting Shared Resources. Great Lakes.](#) [http://www.ijc.org/en/\\_Great\\_Lakes\\_Basin](http://www.ijc.org/en/_Great_Lakes_Basin), (accessed February 3, 2017).
76. International Joint Commission. Great Lakes – St. Lawrence River Adaptive Management Committee. [Committee Membership.](#) [http://ijc.org/en/\\_GLAM](http://ijc.org/en/_GLAM), (accessed February 1, 2017).
77. International Joint Commission, Proposal for Lake Ontario and St. Lawrence River Regulation. [Lake Ontario-St. Lawrence River Plan 2014: Proposal for Lake Ontario and St. Lawrence River Regulation: Public Comment Period.](#) [http://www.ijc.org/en/\\_losl](http://www.ijc.org/en/_losl), (accessed February 1, 2017).

---

<sup>43</sup> Great discussion of the legal governance of the Great Lakes, existing agreements and the Great Lakes Water Resource Compact and Agreement, including a discussion of local

<sup>44</sup> Critical of Public engagement leading up to the 2012 Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement renegotiation arguing for increased public participation of local populations.

78. International Joint Commission. [Lake Ontario St. Lawrence River Plan 2014](http://www.ijc.org/en/_Plan2014/home). [http://www.ijc.org/en/\\_Plan2014/home](http://www.ijc.org/en/_Plan2014/home), (accessed February 1, 2017).
79. International Joint Commission. [Lake Ontario – St. Lawrence River Plan 2014: Protecting against extreme water levels, restoring wetlands and preparing for climate change](http://www.ijc.org/files/tinymce/uploaded/LOSLR/IJC_LOSR_EN_Web.pdf). [http://www.ijc.org/files/tinymce/uploaded/LOSLR/IJC\\_LOSR\\_EN\\_Web.pdf](http://www.ijc.org/files/tinymce/uploaded/LOSLR/IJC_LOSR_EN_Web.pdf), (accessed February 5, 2017).
80. International Upper Great Lakes Study. [About the UGLS](http://www.iugls.org/). <http://www.iugls.org/>, (accessed February 3, 2017).<sup>45</sup>
81. International Upper Great Lakes Study. [Public Interest Advisory Group](http://www.iugls.org/Public_Interest_Advisory_Group). [http://www.iugls.org/Public\\_Interest\\_Advisory\\_Group](http://www.iugls.org/Public_Interest_Advisory_Group), (accessed February 3, 2017).<sup>46</sup>
82. International Joint Commission, International Upper Great Lakes Study. “[Lake Superior Regulation: Addressing Uncertainty in Upper Great Lakes Water Levels](http://www.ijc.org/files/publications/Lake_Superior_Regulation_Full_Report.pdf),” Final Report, March 2012. [http://www.ijc.org/files/publications/Lake\\_Superior\\_Regulation\\_Full\\_Report.pdf](http://www.ijc.org/files/publications/Lake_Superior_Regulation_Full_Report.pdf), (accessed February 5, 2017).<sup>47</sup>
83. International Joint Commission, [Regulation Plan 2014 for the Lake Ontario and the St. Lawrence River Compendium Document](http://www.ijc.org/files/tinymce/uploaded/Plan2014_CompndiumReport_1.pdf), December 2016. [http://www.ijc.org/files/tinymce/uploaded/Plan2014\\_CompndiumReport\\_1.pdf](http://www.ijc.org/files/tinymce/uploaded/Plan2014_CompndiumReport_1.pdf), (accessed February 3, 2017).
84. International Joint Commission. International Lake Superior Board of Control, [Lake Superior Regulation Plan 2012](http://ijc.org/en/_ilsbc/Plan2012). [http://ijc.org/en/\\_ilsbc/Plan2012](http://ijc.org/en/_ilsbc/Plan2012) (accessed February 1, 2017).
85. International Upper Great Lakes Study, [Mandate](http://www.iugls.org/Mandate). <http://www.iugls.org/Mandate>, (accessed February 3, 2017).
86. International Joint Commission. “[International Joint Commission’s Advice to Governments on the Recommendations of the International Upper Great Lakes](#)”

---

<sup>45</sup> “The website captures the final products and pertinent information of the International Upper Great Lakes Study, a bi-national team effort spanning more than five years. More than 200 scientists, engineers, planners and technical experts drawn from a wide range of disciplines and from governments, academia and the private sector, contributed to the Study’s planning, integrated research and analysis”.

<sup>46</sup> “International Joint Commission created the Public Interest Advisory Group (PIAG) --a forum to advise on and support public involvement activities. The PIAG is charged with providing advice and guidance to the Study Board on planning and managing public participation activities, disseminating information to the public and improving the effectiveness of consultation processes in connection with the Study.”

<sup>47</sup> The final report of the ten person binational International Upper Great Lakes Study Board to evaluate options for regulating levels and flows in the Upper Great Lakes system.

- Study.” A Report to the Governments of Canada and the United States, April 15, 2013. <http://ijc.org/iuglsreport/wp-content/uploads/2013/04/IUGLS-IJC-Report-Feb-12-2013-15-April-20132.pdf>, (accessed February 5, 2017).
87. International Great Lakes Coalition. “[About the Great Lakes Coalition.](http://www.iglc.org/about.shtml)” <http://www.iglc.org/about.shtml>, (accessed February 5, 2017).<sup>48</sup>
  88. International Joint Commission. [International Great Lakes-St. Lawrence River Adaptive Management Task Team.](http://ijc.org/boards/stlawrencerivertaskteam/) <http://ijc.org/boards/stlawrencerivertaskteam/>, (accessed February 5, 2017).
  89. [International Lake Ontario – St. Lawrence River Study.](http://www.losl.org/about/about-e.html) <http://www.losl.org/about/about-e.html>, (accessed February 5, 2017).
  90. International Joint Commission. “[International Joint Commission in the Matter of the Regulation of Lake Superior and the St. Marys River \(Dockets 6 and 8\), Supplementary Order of Approval 2014.](http://ijc.org/files/dockets/Docket%206/Docket%206-8%20Supplementary%20Order%20of%20Approval%202014-07-17.pdf)” <http://ijc.org/files/dockets/Docket%206/Docket%206-8%20Supplementary%20Order%20of%20Approval%202014-07-17.pdf>, (accessed February 5, 2017).
  91. Kahl, Dr. Katherine J., “[What Could Changing Great Lakes Water Levels Mean for our Coastal Communities? A Case for climate-adapted planning approaches,](https://www.nature.org/ourinitiatives/regions/northamerica/areas/greatlakes/explore/great-lakes-lake-levels-case-study.pdf)” The Nature Conservancy Great Lakes Project. <https://www.nature.org/ourinitiatives/regions/northamerica/areas/greatlakes/explore/great-lakes-lake-levels-case-study.pdf>, (accessed February 3, 2017).
  92. [Stratégies Saint-Laurent.](http://www.strategiessl.qc.ca/a-propos/strategies-saint-laurent) <http://www.strategiessl.qc.ca/a-propos/strategies-saint-laurent>, (accessed February 3, 2017).
  93. Willoughby, William R. “The International Joint Commission’s Role in Maintaining Stable Water Levels,” (1972). 28 INLAND SEAS 109 – 118.
  94. [Wisconsin Great Lakes Coalition.](http://www.wisconsinngreatlakescoalition.org/) <http://www.wisconsinngreatlakescoalition.org/>, (accessed February 5, 2017).

### **Souris River Agreement:**

95. [Agreement Between the Government of Canada and the Government of the United States of America for Water Supply and Flood Control in the Souris River](#)

---

<sup>48</sup> “The Great Lakes Coalition (GLC) is a Michigan non-profit corporation whose membership consists of individual coastal property owners throughout the Great lakes Basin in both Canada and the United States. It is the only international organization that represents the interests of Great Lakes property owners. Thus, IGLC is a coalition of coastal property owners and is many times referred to as simply the Coalition”.

- Basin, E100475 – CTS 1986 No. 36. <http://www.treaty-accord.gc.ca/text-texte.aspx?id=100475>, (accessed February 5, 2017).
96. Bankes, Nigel and Barbara Cosens. *Protocols for Adaptive Water Governance: The Future of the Columbia River Treaty*. Program on Water Issues, Munk School of Global Affairs at the University of Toronto, (2014). <http://powi.ca/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/Protocols-for-Adaptive-Water-Governance-Final-October-14-2014.pdf>, (accessed February 1, 2017).
97. International Joint Commission. Souris River. [http://ijc.org/en\\_/isrb](http://ijc.org/en_/isrb), (accessed February 5, 2017).
98. International Joint Commission. Souris River. International Souris River Board. [http://www.ijc.org/en\\_/Souris\\_River\\_Basin](http://www.ijc.org/en_/Souris_River_Basin), (accessed February 5, 2017).
99. Transboundary Watersheds, First Report to the Governments of Canada and the United States under the Reference of November 19, 1998 with respect to international watershed boards, December 2000. [http://www.iatp.org/files/Transboundary\\_Watersheds.htm](http://www.iatp.org/files/Transboundary_Watersheds.htm), (accessed February 6, 2017).

### **The Milk and St. Mary Rivers:**

100. Bankes, Nigel. “Comments on the International St. Mary Milk Rivers Administrative Measures Task Force, Report to the International Joint Commission, April 2006.” [http://www.law.ucalgary.ca/files/law/comments\\_on\\_the\\_international\\_st\\_mary\\_milk\\_admin\\_measures\\_report.pdf](http://www.law.ucalgary.ca/files/law/comments_on_the_international_st_mary_milk_admin_measures_report.pdf), (accessed February 6, 2017).<sup>49</sup>
101. Government of Alberta, Environment and Parks. Montana-Alberta St. Mary and Milk Rivers Water Management Initiative. <http://aep.alberta.ca/water/programs-and-services/river-management-frameworks/montana-alberta-st-mary-and-milk-rivers-water-management-initiative/default.aspx>, (accessed February 6, 2017).<sup>50</sup>
102. Government of Alberta, Environment and Parks. Montana-Alberta St. Mary and Milk Rivers Water Management Initiative Terms of Reference, November 2008. <http://aep.alberta.ca/water/programs-and-services/river-management-frameworks/montana-alberta-st-mary-and-milk-rivers-water-management->

---

<sup>49</sup> Insightful commentary on the legal workings of the Milk and St. Mary Rivers apportionment.

<sup>50</sup> The Water Management Initiative is a joint initiative of local water users and government officials.

- [initiative/documents/StMaryMilkRiversInitiative-Terms-2008.pdf](#), (accessed February 6, 2017).<sup>51</sup>
103. Halliday, R. & G. Faveri. “[The St. Mary and Milk Rivers: The 1921 Order Revisited](#).” 32 CANADIAN WATER RESOURCES JOURNAL/REVUE CANADIENNE DES RESSOURCES HYDRIQUES 75, (2007), <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.4296/cwrj3201075>, (accessed February 6, 2017).<sup>52</sup>
104. International Joint Commission. St Mary and Milk Rivers. [Accredited Officers for the St. Mary – Milk Rivers](#). [http://www.ijc.org/en/\\_St\\_Mary\\_and\\_Milk\\_Rivers](http://www.ijc.org/en/_St_Mary_and_Milk_Rivers), (accessed February 6, 2017).<sup>53</sup>
105. International Joint Commission. Milk River Basin Water Management Committee. “[Submission to the International Joint Commission with respect to the Apportionment of the St. Mary and Milk Rivers](#).” [http://ijc.org/rel/pdf/45\\_stmary-milk\\_letter.pdf](http://ijc.org/rel/pdf/45_stmary-milk_letter.pdf), (accessed February 6, 2017).<sup>54</sup>
106. International Joint Commission. “[International St. Mary - Milk Rivers Administrative Measures Task Force](#),” Report to the International Joint Commission, April 2006. <http://ijc.org/rel/pdf/SMMRAM.pdf>, (accessed February 6, 2017).<sup>55</sup>
107. Program on Water Governance. “[Policy Brief: Apportionment of the St. Mary and Milk Rivers, Institute for Resources, Environment, and Sustainability](#).” The University of British Columbia, <http://watergovernance.ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/wwb.stmary.milkriver.pdf>, (accessed February 6, 2017), p.2.<sup>56</sup>

### **Colorado River Compact/Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program:**

108. U.S. General Services Administration. [Federal Advisory Committee Act \(FACA\) P.L. 92---463; 5 U.S.C.](#) App. (October 6, 1972); see also, Federal Advisory

---

<sup>51</sup> Terms of Reference for the water management initiative between Alberta and Montana, which incorporates affected interests regarding the Milk and St. Mary Rivers.

<sup>52</sup> Provides an overview and background for the 1921 order as well as a synthesis of the public record and comments from 2004.

<sup>53</sup> Portal for the IJC regarding the apportionment of the Milk and St. Mary Rivers.

<sup>54</sup> Submissions by a local management group to the IJC outlines some for the local groups present.

<sup>55</sup> Final report from the task force create to review the 1921 Order.

<sup>56</sup> A brief discussion of the issues and situation regarding apportionment on the Milk and St. Mary Rivers including a discussion of the Alberta and Montana Water Management Initiative.

- Committee Act (FACA). <https://www.gsa.gov/portal/content/100916>, (accessed February 5, 2017).<sup>57</sup>
109. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation. [The Colorado River Compact of 1922](https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/g1000/pdfiles/crcompact.pdf). <https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/g1000/pdfiles/crcompact.pdf>, (accessed February 6, 2017).<sup>58</sup>
110. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Upper Colorado Region. [Glen Canyon Adaptive Management Program, Adaptive Management Working Group Membership](https://www.usbr.gov/uc/rm/amp/amwg/amwg_members.html). [https://www.usbr.gov/uc/rm/amp/amwg/amwg\\_members.html](https://www.usbr.gov/uc/rm/amp/amwg/amwg_members.html), (accessed February 4, 2017).<sup>59</sup>
111. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation. [Reclamation Projects Authorization and Adjustment Act of 1992, Title XVIII – Grand Canyon Protection Section 1901. Short Title, Grand Canyon Protection Act of 1992](https://www.usbr.gov/uc/legal/gcpa1992.html). <https://www.usbr.gov/uc/legal/gcpa1992.html>, (accessed February 5, 2017).
112. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation. [Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program](https://www.usbr.gov/uc/rm/amp/). <https://www.usbr.gov/uc/rm/amp/>, (accessed February 5, 2017).<sup>60</sup>

## **Murray Darling River**

113. Government of Victoria. [Murray-Darling Basin Plan](http://www.depi.vic.gov.au/water/rural-water-and-irrigation/murray-darling-basin/murray-darling-basin-plan). <http://www.depi.vic.gov.au/water/rural-water-and-irrigation/murray-darling-basin/murray-darling-basin-plan>, (accessed February 4, 2017).
114. Haisman, Brian. “[Murray-Darling River Basin Case Study Australia, Background Paper](http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTSAREGTOPWATRES/Resources/Australia_MurrayDarlingFINAL.pdf).” December 2004, World Bank. [http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTSAREGTOPWATRES/Resources/Australia\\_MurrayDarlingFINAL.pdf](http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTSAREGTOPWATRES/Resources/Australia_MurrayDarlingFINAL.pdf), (accessed February 5, 2017).
115. Hutchinson, Karen, a key advocate for basin residents during the development of the basin plan. Murray-Darling Basin Authority. [Murray Darling Community](#)

---

<sup>57</sup> Specifies parameters to assure that committees set up to advise federal agencies on decision making are broadly representative and their actions are open to the public.

<sup>58</sup> Forms the Cornerstone of the “Law of the River”. Negotiated by the seven Colorado River Basin states and the federal government and apportions water between the upper and lower basin states. This forms a central piece to the backdrop of the GCDAMP.

<sup>59</sup> Membership of the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG) that consults with basin interests and includes membership of diverse local interests.

<sup>60</sup> Useful government portal for the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program.



- [Committee. http://www.mdba.gov.au/about-us/governance/basin-community-committee](http://www.mdba.gov.au/about-us/governance/basin-community-committee), (accessed February 5, 2017).
116. Murray-Darling Basin Authority. [Basin Implementation Committee](http://www.mdba.gov.au/about-us/governance/basin-plan-implementation-committee). <http://www.mdba.gov.au/about-us/governance/basin-plan-implementation-committee>, (accessed February 10, 2017).
117. Murray-Darling Basin Authority. [Ministerial Council](http://www.mdba.gov.au/about-us/governance/ministerial-council). <http://www.mdba.gov.au/about-us/governance/ministerial-council>, (accessed February 10, 2017).
118. Murray-Darling Basin Authority. [Murray Darling Partnerships and engagement](http://www.mdba.gov.au/about-us/partnerships-engagement). <http://www.mdba.gov.au/about-us/partnerships-engagement>, (accessed February 5, 2017).
119. Murray-Darling Basin Authority. [Northern Basin Advisory Committee](http://www.mdba.gov.au/about-us/governance/northern-basin-advisory-committee). <http://www.mdba.gov.au/about-us/governance/northern-basin-advisory-committee>, (accessed February 10, 2017).
120. Murray-Darling Basin Authority. [Officials Committee](http://www.mdba.gov.au/about-us/governance/basin-officials-committee). <http://www.mdba.gov.au/about-us/governance/basin-officials-committee>, (accessed February 10, 2017).
121. Murray-Darling Basin Authority. [Social, Economic and Environmental Sciences](http://www.mdba.gov.au/about-us/governance/advisory-committee-social-economic-environmental-sciences). <http://www.mdba.gov.au/about-us/governance/advisory-committee-social-economic-environmental-sciences>, (accessed February 10, 2017).
122. Ross, Andrew and Daniel Connell. “[The evolution and performance of river basin management in the Murray-Darling Basin](http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol21/iss3/art29/ES-2016-8664.pdf).” 21 *ECOLOGY AND SOCIETY* (2016). [www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol21/iss3/art29/ES-2016-8664.pdf](http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol21/iss3/art29/ES-2016-8664.pdf), (accessed February 6, 2017).
123. Scanlon, John. “[A hundred years of negotiations with no end in sight: Where is the Murray Darling Basin Initiative leading us?](http://www.myong.net.au/water/policies/Scanlon_060731.pdf)” Keynote Address. Environment Institute of Australia and New Zealand Conference. Adelaide, Australia (2006). [http://www.myong.net.au/water/policies/Scanlon\\_060731.pdf](http://www.myong.net.au/water/policies/Scanlon_060731.pdf), (accessed February 6, 2017).
124. *Water Act 2007*, C2014C00194, Schedule 1 Murray-Darling Agreement, [https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/C2014C00194/Html/Text#\\_Toc390870752](https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/C2014C00194/Html/Text#_Toc390870752), (accessed February 6, 2017).